	il de la companya de
1	SCOTT N. SCHOOLS (SCSBN 9990) United States Attorney
2	MARK L. KROTOSKI (CASBN 138549) Chief, Criminal Division
<b>4</b> 5	GARTH HIRE (CASBN 187330) Assistant United States Attorney
6 7	450 Golden Gate Avenue San Francisco, California 94102 Telephone: (415) 436-6960 Facsimile: (415) 436-6982 F. Mailan Courth Him Quadri page
8 9	E-Mail: Garth.Hire@usdoj.gov  Attorneys for Plaintiff
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
11	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
12	OAKLAND DIVISION
13	
14	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, ) No. CR 06-00697 DLJ
15	Plaintiff, ) STIPULATION AND ORDER
16	v. ) RESCHEDULING HEARING DESCRIPTION AND ORDER V. ) RESCHEDULING TIME
17	WARREN STEVEN SMITH, a/k/a "Night Train,"
18	Defendant.
19	
20	Plaintiff, by and through its attorney of record, and defendant, by and through his
21	attorney of record, hereby stipulate and ask the Court to find as follows:
22	1. On October 19, 2006, a federal grand jury indicted defendant Warren Steven
23	Smith for distribution of cocaine base in violation of Title 21, United States Code, Sections
24	841(a)(1), (b)(1)(B)(iii).
25	2. On April 20, 2007, a status conference was held before the Honorable D. Lowell
26	Jensen, United States District Judge. At that time, defendant requested, and the Court ordered,
27	that the matter be continued until April 27, 2007, for a change of plea.
28	
	STIPULATION AND PROPOSED ORDER RESCHEDULING HEARING AND EXCLUDE TIME CR 06-00697 DLJ 1

**ORDER** 1 2 FOR GOOD CAUSE SHOWN, THE COURT ADOPTS THE FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW STIPULATED TO BY THE PARTIES. THEREFORE, IT IS SO 3 4 FOUND AND ORDERED THAT: 5 1. The previously scheduled April 27, 2007, change of plea hearing is vacated. A 6 hearing for change of plea is now scheduled for 9:00 a.m. on May 4, 2007. 7 2. The time period from April 20, 2007, to May 4, 2007, is deemed excludable pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §§ 3161(h)(8)(A), (B)(iv) because it results from a continuance granted by 8 the Court at the defendant's request and on the basis of the Court's finding that the ends of 9 10 justice served by taking such action outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in 11 a speedy trial and because failure to grant the continuance would unreasonably deny defense counsel the time necessary for effective preparation for trial, taking into account due diligence. 12 The Court finds that nothing in this stipulation and order shall preclude a finding that other 13 provisions of the Speedy Trial Act dictate that additional time periods are excludable from the 14 15 period within which trial must commence. 16 DATED: May 1, 2007 17 HONORABLE D. LOWELI 18 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 STIPULATION AND PROPOSED ORDER